

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**  
**ERIC H. BELL**  
**ON BEHALF OF**  
**SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY**  
**DOCKET NO. 2019-2-E**

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND**  
2 **OCCUPATION.**

3 A. My name is Eric H. Bell. My business address is 220 Operation Way, Cayce,  
4 South Carolina. I am Manager of Economic Resources Commitment for South  
5 Carolina Electric & Gas Company (“SCE&G” or the “Company”).  
6

7 **Q. STATE BRIEFLY YOUR EDUCATION, BACKGROUND, AND**  
8 **EXPERIENCE.**

9 A. I am a graduate of the University of Texas with a Bachelor of Science degree  
10 in Electrical Engineering and am licensed in South Carolina as a Professional  
11 Engineer. Following graduation, I served in the United States Navy as a Nuclear  
12 Submarine Officer. In 1994, I began my career with SCE&G as Assistant Plant  
13 Engineer and in 1997 was promoted to Operations Planner for the Company. From  
14 2001 to 2008, I was responsible for the Company’s economic resource commitment  
15 efforts and, in 2008, I assumed my current role as Manager of Economic Resources  
16 Commitment. In this position, I am responsible for managing and optimizing

1 generation fleet operations to provide reliable reasonably-priced energy to SCE&G  
2 customers. Among other things, my responsibilities include participating in fuel  
3 purchasing decisions, unit commitment, and the coordination of activities with  
4 power marketing, transmission system control, maintenance scheduling, and natural  
5 gas supply.

6  
7 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT WITNESS**  
8 **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA**  
9 **(“COMMISSION”)?**

10 A. No, this is my first time appearing before the Commission.

11  
12 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the actual operational experience  
14 of the Company related to managing energy supply including the photovoltaic  
15 (“PV”) solar generation facilities interconnected with SCE&G’s system. I also  
16 discuss the reference data and other inputs derived from this operational experience,  
17 which the Company provided to Navigant Consulting, Inc. (“Navigant”) in  
18 connection with the PV solar generation facility impact study sponsored by  
19 Company Witness Dr. Matthew W. Tanner. Finally, I discuss the Company’s  
20 review of the Navigant simulations.

1 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY EXPERIENCED A RECENT INCREASE IN THE**  
2 **AMOUNT OF SOLAR FACILITIES INTERCONNECTED WITH ITS**  
3 **SYSTEM?**

4 A. Yes. The Company has recently experienced a significant increase in PV  
5 generator interconnection interest in the previous two year period. A 2 MW rooftop  
6 installation and approximately 7 MW of Distributed Energy Resource (“DER”)  
7 utility-scale installation were the only utility-scale PV solar generators in the  
8 SCE&G service territory before 2017. By December 31, 2018, approximately 433  
9 MW of PV solar generation was interconnected to the SCE&G system. Those  
10 facilities include approximately 63 MW in residential behind-the-meter systems, 6  
11 MW in commercial behind-the-meter systems, 19 MW in commercial in-front-of-  
12 the-meter systems, and 345 MW in “utility-scale” solar (14 MW is community solar  
13 and 331 MW is other utility-scale to include DER utility-scale facilities).

14  
15 **Q. ARE THERE ADDITIONAL SOLAR FACILITIES THAT SCE&G**  
16 **EXPECTS TO BE INTERCONNECTED TO ITS SYSTEM IN THE NEAR**  
17 **FUTURE?**

18 A. Yes. In addition to the facilities already interconnected and providing power  
19 to the SCE&G system, another 14 non-DER solar facilities and 1 DER solar facility  
20 have executed agreements with the Company to provide additional solar power to  
21 SCE&G’s system. Each of these facilities is expected to enter commercial operation  
22 between now and the end of 2020; when constructed and interconnected, these

1 additional facilities will add approximately 705 MW of additional solar generation  
2 to the Company's system. Following these interconnections, which are projected to  
3 be made by the end of 2020, and along with the projected growth of 16 MW in  
4 residential and commercial behind-the-meter systems SCE&G expects to have a  
5 total of 1,154 MW of solar facilities interconnected with its system by the end of  
6 2020.

7  
8 **Q. DOES THIS AMOUNT OF SOLAR GENERATION CREATE ANY**  
9 **CHALLENGES IN SAFELY AND RELIABLY OPERATING SCE&G'S**  
10 **SYSTEM IN COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS?**

11 A. Yes. Solar generation is a variable energy resource, meaning that it cannot  
12 be dispatched or predicted exactly. Normally, dispatchable generation is added in  
13 economic merit order as system load increases and removed as load decreases. By  
14 comparison, solar generation is a product of uncontrollable factors such as available  
15 sunlight and cloud cover, and a solar facility's output is not necessarily responsive  
16 to system needs. Because of this variability in generation, SCE&G must make  
17 operational adjustments to follow the energy generated by solar facilities and to  
18 maintain sufficient reserve generation capability in order to meet system reliability  
19 requirements. In addition to being variable moment to moment, solar generation  
20 varies from the solar generation forecast which also creates a need for reserves. It  
21 is anticipated that solar generation will eventually exceed SCE&G's ability to  
22 provide adequate reserves unless SCE&G maintains more hourly operating reserves

1 or adds more quick start resources to its system. SCE&G has an obligation to  
2 balance generation to load and maintain reserves at all times as discussed further  
3 below.

4  
5 **Q. GIVEN THIS VARIABILITY, HOW DOES SCE&G PLAN FOR THE**  
6 **AMOUNT OF SOLAR GENERATION THAT IS PUT TO ITS SYSTEM ON**  
7 **A DAILY BASIS?**

8 A. On a regular basis, both the generation owners and the Company forecast  
9 the expected amount of solar generation, taking into account anticipated weather  
10 conditions and the characteristics of the individual generating facilities. Because  
11 actual weather conditions can vary greatly from forecasts, projections of anticipated  
12 solar generation are much less reliable than those of other generating resources such  
13 as a natural gas or coal-fired generation facility. Some, but not all, of the forecasted  
14 solar generation can be expected with reasonable certainty; however, when the  
15 amount of solar energy actually generated does not meet the forecasted projections,  
16 the shortfall must be supplied by generation from another resource. The utility must  
17 be ready for the unexpected loss of solar generation well ahead of the contingency.  
18 Traditional types of generators cannot begin generating electricity immediately, but  
19 must be given adequate time to be brought on line and respond when called upon to  
20 fulfill unexpected shortfall. Although some types of smaller generators on  
21 SCE&G's system can start quickly from an offline standby condition, the amount

1 of capacity they can supply is limited. SCE&G's larger generators need to be  
2 brought on line well ahead of the contingency.

3  
4 **Q. HOW DOES SCE&G PLAN FOR SUCH OCCURRENCES?**

5 A. The Company is subject to requirements established by the North American  
6 Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) and the SERC Reliability Corporation.  
7 The Company also is a signatory to the VACAR (Virginia/Carolinas) Reserve  
8 Sharing Arrangement through which it maintains required reserve generation  
9 capability at all times in the event of a contingency—i.e., a reserve call from a  
10 neighboring utility, a sudden loss of generation such as when a generating facility  
11 is unable to generate electricity, or unexpected and higher demand on the  
12 Company's system. When a VACAR reserve sharing partner calls upon reserves or  
13 an SCE&G generator experiences a sudden unplanned forced outage, reserve  
14 capability is being "used," and does not need to be reestablished immediately under  
15 the terms of the VACAR Reserve Sharing Arrangement. However, when the  
16 territorial load exceeds forecast or non-dispatchable solar generation is not  
17 producing the expected level of electric generation, SCE&G must ensure that other  
18 generation is producing power to meet load and make other generation supply  
19 available to maintain the reserve requirement. Under these circumstances, SCE&G  
20 must have generators available or online that are capable of quickly and reliably  
21 producing electricity so the sudden shortfall can be met.

1   **Q.   HOW ARE CONTINGENCY RESERVES SUPPLIED?**

2   A.           Contingency reserves must be supplied on demand within fifteen minutes  
3           and include spinning and non-spinning reserve requirements. Spinning reserves are  
4           provided by generators that already are online but not operating at full capacity and  
5           therefore can immediately generate additional electricity to serve the load. Non-  
6           spinning reserves may be supplied by both online and offline generators that can be  
7           fully loaded within fifteen minutes. The generators with the fastest response  
8           capability are quick-start internal combustion turbines (“ICTs”), some hydropower  
9           facilities, and pumped storage generators (“Pumped Storage”). Economical  
10          operation of ICTs normally has them offline in stand-by and supplying non-spinning  
11          reserve capability much like Saluda Hydro provides spinning and non-spinning  
12          reserves. In the future, both of those types of units will continue operating in the  
13          same way from the standby mode.

14  
15   **Q.   HOW IS SCE&G ABLE TO INCREASE ITS AMOUNT OF AVAILABLE**  
16   **RESERVE CAPACITY?**

17   A.           The only way to increase reserves from ICTs and Saluda Hydro is to  
18          construct additional units. Reserves from quick starts and Saluda Hydro have been  
19          fully utilized for years, and no additional reserve value can be gained from those  
20          existing units. While Pumped Storage does supply spinning and non-spinning  
21          reserves, the optimal operation of Pumped Storage is dictated by economical  
22          limitations. Creating additional reserves by holding back Pumped Storage adds fuel

1 costs in most circumstances because the output from higher cost generating units  
2 must be increased. In addition, the Company can increase its reserves by operating  
3 more coal and gas-fired baseload units. However, doing so may require SCE&G to  
4 operate its natural gas or coal-fired generating facilities under low load conditions  
5 or at an output level that is less efficient, i.e., more costly, than the optimum level  
6 for which they were designed. Thus, there is a cost to operating the generating units  
7 that provide these higher reserve levels, and those costs increase as more reserves  
8 are required.

9  
10 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY ATTEMPTED TO QUANTIFY THESE**  
11 **OPERATIONAL COSTS?**

12 A. Yes. As further discussed by Company Witness Dr. Matthew Tanner,  
13 SCE&G engaged Navigant to evaluate the operational and financial impact of  
14 serving SCE&G's customers with PV solar generation in addition to the Company-  
15 owned resources.

16  
17 **Q. IN PREPARATION FOR THIS STUDY, DID SCE&G PROVIDE**  
18 **NAVIGANT WITH OPERATIONAL DATA FROM THE COMPANY?**

19 A. Yes. The Company provided Navigant with information concerning  
20 SCE&G's NERC/VACAR operating requirements, as well as input and reference  
21 data related to the PV solar generation facilities interconnected with SCE&G's



1 system. The Company also provided Navigant with forecasts shown in Table 1  
 2 below.

3 **Table 1**

	<b>Summer Peak (MW)</b>	<b>Winter Peak (MW)</b>	<b>Energy Sales (GWh)</b>
2019	4,639	4,749	22,654
2020	4,688	4,792	22,828
2021	4,733	4,822	23,014
2022	4,772	4,860	23,153
2023	4,810	4,882	23,331
2024	4,835	4,921	23,461
2025	4,874	4,963	23,649
2026	4,919	5,007	23,879
2027	4,961	5,046	24,123
2028	5,003	5,085	24,353
2029	5,042	5,124	24,581
2030	5,084	5,166	24,807
2031	5,125	5,208	25,061
2032	5,168	5,248	25,310
2033	5,208	5,290	25,563

4  
 5 In addition, the Company provided Navigant with its current resource plan  
 6 showing the need for additional capacity during the next fifteen years and  
 7 identifying, on a preliminary basis, whether the need is for summer or winter  
 8 capacity. The current resource plan is attached to the Direct Testimony of Company  
 9 Witness Mr. James Neely in Table 1 of Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (JWN-1). SCE&G also  
 10 provided Navigant with the Company's peak seasonal demand, energy sales, and  
 11 self-owned generation portfolio, as well as information concerning generator  
 12 characteristics, including size in megawatts, fuel cost, efficiency, and operating  
 13 flexibility. This information is included in the Company's 2019 IRP filed on

1 February 8, 2019, in Docket No. 2019-9-E, which I incorporate herein by reference,  
2 and provides an accurate representation of the Company's dispatchable electric  
3 supply. Finally, the Company provided information concerning actual solar  
4 generation profiles from existing projects, existing solar PPAs, forward fuel prices,  
5 and natural gas pipeline contracts.

6  
7 **Q. DID THIS INFORMATION INCLUDE PROFILES OF SOLAR FACILITIES**  
8 **INTERCONNECTED WITH SCE&G'S SYSTEM?**

9 A. Yes. The Company provided Navigant with hourly solar energy profiles from  
10 actual solar installations with energy production from five geographic areas in the  
11 SCE&G service territory. On the SCE&G system, the PV Solar generation energy  
12 production profile is dominated by the utility-scale single-axis tracker with panel  
13 capability in excess of the plant rating and inverter capability. On sunny days, this  
14 generating profile sharply increases from sunrise to nearly full load electrical output  
15 in less than 2 hours. PV Solar output then stays at or near full load until about 2  
16 hours before sunset, unless there is cloud cover. On partly cloudy days, the profile  
17 is extremely volatile and much less predictable. Cloudy days result in expectedly  
18 low generation output. Although this relationship is conceptually simple, the partly  
19 cloudy and cloudy days are the most difficult to forecast and can cause large  
20 deviations from the generating forecast. In all cases, the Company must anticipate  
21 and plan for significant variations from the forecast and, therefore, maintain  
22 adequate reserves to balance the load.

1   **Q.   PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE NAVIGANT’S CASE STUDIES.**

2   A.           In the Navigant study, each variation, or “case,” simulates the introduction  
3           of more installed solar generation that supplies energy to SCE&G’s system. In  
4           connection with the study, SCE&G provided Navigant with estimates of the amount  
5           of solar generation expected to be interconnected with its system by 2020. The  
6           original scope of the study was to include varying estimates of solar generation to  
7           displace fossil-fueled and hydro generation of 350 MW, 725 MW, and 1,050 MW.  
8           These amounts reflected, respectively, 1) 350 MW of solar generation under  
9           construction and expected to be interconnected with SCE&G’s system by the end  
10          of 2018; 2) an additional 375 MW (725 MW total) of solar generation expected to  
11          be interconnected by the end of 2019; and 3) an additional 325 MW (1,050 MW  
12          total) of additional solar generation projects expected to be interconnected by the  
13          end of 2020. At the time the study was originally commissioned, these tranches  
14          were reasonable approximations of the amount of solar capacity expected to  
15          interconnect with SCE&G’s system in each year.

16               After the study commenced, SCE&G provided Navigant with updated  
17          information regarding the actual amount of solar generation on its system and  
18          expected to interconnect with its system pursuant to signed PPAs. As a result, the  
19          Navigant study analyzed the impact of the actual amount of solar interconnected  
20          and expected to be interconnected with its system by the end of 2020, reflecting 1)  
21          336 MW of solar generation actually under construction and expected to be  
22          interconnected with SCE&G’s system by the end of 2018; 2) an additional 301 MW

(637 MW total) of solar generation expected to be interconnected by the end of 2019; and 3) an additional 407 MW (1,044 MW total) of additional solar generation projects expected to be interconnected by the end of 2020. These updated estimates remained throughout the study as the basis for each study case with the Baseline at 336 MW, Case 1 at 637 MW and Case 2 at 1,044 MW.

**Q. HOW DO THE ESTIMATES OF SOLAR GENERATION USED IN THE STUDY COMPARE TO THE ACTUAL EXPERIENCE OF THE COMPANY AND ITS UPDATED FORECASTS?**

A. The estimates used in the study are very similar to, but less than, the actual amounts of utility-scale solar generation interconnected to SCE&G's system by the end of 2018 and currently forecasted by the Company to be interconnected by the end of 2019 and 2020. Specifically, the cumulative nameplate facility rating of utility-scale solar generation actually interconnected with the Company's system by the end of 2018 was approximately 345 MW, as compared to the Navigant Baseline scenario of 336 MW. Based upon the solar generators currently interconnected with SCE&G's system or under construction, SCE&G forecasts that approximately 643 MW (cumulative nameplate facility rating) of utility-scale solar generation will be interconnected with its system by the end of 2019, as compared to the Solar Case 1 scenario of 637 MW. Finally, based upon the PPAs executed by potential solar owners/operators, SCE&G forecasts that approximately 1,050 MW (cumulative nameplate facility rating) of utility-scale solar generation will be interconnected

1 with its system by the end of 2020, as compared to the Solar Case 2 scenario of  
2 1,044 MW.

3 When residential behind-the-meter systems, commercial behind-the-meter  
4 systems, and commercial in-front-of-the-meter systems are considered, the  
5 estimates used in the study are lower than annual totals that are expected to reach  
6 1,154 MW of generation capability by 2020.

7  
8 **Q. DID SCE&G REVIEW THE RESULTS OF THE NAVIGANT'S**  
9 **SIMULATION?**

10 A. Yes. Navigant uses a modeling software known as PROMOD®, which is a  
11 production cost model that simulates the dispatch of generating units based upon  
12 theoretical operating scenarios. These models are used to analyze electricity system  
13 costs including how system costs change when aspects of those systems change. In  
14 order to verify that Navigant's simulations reflected SCE&G's actual operating  
15 experience, the Company's Economic Resource Commitment and Resource  
16 Planning departments reviewed the baseline scenario and recommended  
17 adjustments with respect to certain operating parameters and characteristics. As a  
18 result, the PROMOD® simulations reasonably reflect the actual operating  
19 characteristics of SCE&G's system.

20  
21 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

22 A. Yes.